This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,390	10/30/2001	Jae-Yong Jeong	4591-220	5348	
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.			TU, CHRISTINE TRINH LE		
1030 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estanciano crise may be simulate undust the provision of 3 CFR 1.19(a). In no event, however, may a neply be finely little period for reply separated above. In the same of 3 CFR 1.19(a). In no event, however, may a neply be finely little period for reply separated above. In the same of 3 CFR 1.19(a). In no event, however, may a neply be finely be period for reply separated above. In the same disablery period will apply and will expire 15(a) plot 10 ft. 19 (a) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c				
## Examiner	A	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\lambda}$
Christine T. Tu - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The period for reply specified above is last than firty (50) days, and provide the provided of reply specified above is last than firty (50) days, and provided in the standary interior and think (20) days we to considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is last than firty (50) days, and provided the standary period and apply willing the standary interior and think (20) days we to considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is last than firty (50) days, and provided the standary period and apply willing the standary interior and think (20) days we to considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is last than firty (50) days, and provided the standary period and provided the standary interior and the standary period and provided the standary interior and the standary period and provided the standary interior and the standary reply reduced to the standary reply reduced the s		10/003,390	JEONG ET AL.	U
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The best of the time reply a sepabled above is last than thing (30) days, and you the provision of 5°CR1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled the provision of the provision of 5°CR1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled the provision of the above claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 1) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority documents have been received. 1. Certified copies of t	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 are SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. 1 the period for regly is specified above, the mailling date of this communication is the period for regly is specified above, the mailling date of the communication. 1 the period for regly is specified above, the mailling date of the communication of this period for regly is specified above, the mailling date of the communication. 1 the period for regly is specified above, the mailling date of the communication of this period by the did the office that there no notion all the mailling date of the communication, world limit (3) study (4) study				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esterations of time may be switched under the provision of 3° CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted after SIX (6) MCRTIS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MCRTIS from the mailing date of this communication, explaining the state of the communication of the communication of the provision of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2001. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin 	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to sy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commined (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			
4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		erits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date All Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) O Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) O Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) O Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) O Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) ○ ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		•	• •	4.40.44.11
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	_			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Attachment(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	age
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Statement(s) (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal		52)

Art Unit: 2133

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-7, 10-11, 13 and 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1:

At lines 3-6, it is not clear <u>when</u> and <u>how</u> the fail memory cells are determined before the fail bit counter can count them. In other words, a step of determining fail bits should be performed first in order for the fail bit counter to count them.

Claims 4 and 5:

Claim 4 or claim 5 should be canceled due to duplicate claims 4 and 5 because they contain same limitations.

Claim 7:

At line 2, insert a comma "," after the term "test operation".

At line 2, insert a word "data" before the word "bits".

<u>Claim 10:</u>

The phrase "the clock signals are read-out enable signals" is <u>not</u> logic. It is not clear how clock signals (CLK) can be read (out) enable signals (RE). In other words, clock signals (CLK) should control timing or synchronization and read enable signals (RE) should enable the read function.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

Claim 11:

At line 2, the verb "initialized" should be replaced with –incremented--- due to the fact that the fail bit counter and latch circuit should be <u>incremented</u> a count number. In other words, the fail bit counter and latch circuit is NOT set to "zero" (as being recited in the claimed).

<u>Claim 13:</u>

The term "the clock signals" at line 3 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 21:

At line 7, the term "the compared outputted expected data" lacks antecedent basis. It is not clear <u>where</u> is the compared outputted expected data coming from.

At line 7, the term "a register" should be replaced with –the expected data buffer—if the term refers to the previously recited buffer (as claimed at line 4). In other words, consistency of a term should be used throughout the claims.

At lines 6-7, it is not clear when and how the <u>inputted expected data</u> is determined to be not corresponding to the <u>compared outputted expected data</u> in a register. What is the purpose for registering such failure occasions of <u>non-correspondence</u> of the inputted expected data and the compared outputted expected data.

Art Unit: 2133

Claim 27:

At line 8, how is a fail code determined? And how is the fail code different from the counted number?

At lines 9-10, it is not clear <u>when</u> and <u>how</u> the column address <u>is being</u> <u>incremented</u> due to the recited phrase "<u>after</u> incrementing the column address" at line 10. In other words, a step of incrementing the column address should be recited in the claim.

Claims 2-3, 6, and 22-26, 28-30:

These claims are rejected because they depend on claims 1, 21 and 27 and contain the same problems of indefiniteness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

Art Unit: 2133

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-18 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raad et al. (6,243,840 and Raad hereinafter) in view of Hill et al. (6,141,779 and Hill hereinafter).

Claims 1 and 2:

Raad disclosed the invention substantially as claimed. Raad shows (figure 1) a memory device (10) comprising a memory array (11A) having plurality of memory cells and a data output register (28) for outputting the read data from the memory array (11A) (figure 1, column 3 line 1 – column 4 line 9).

Raad also shows (figures 1 & 3) that a test mode circuit (36) comprises a data compare circuit (38) for comparing data from array (37) to a predetermined 32-bit data pattern from a data background circuit (40) (column 5 line 58-column 6 line 4).

Raad does not teach the fail bit counter. Hill, however, teaches a combination of a sticky compare register (142) and a counter (144). The sticky compare register (142) has plurality of bits each for storing a "1" in corresponding to a failed cell (104) in a column of a memory array (102). The counter (144) then counts the number of bits of the sticky compare register (142) that contains a "1" (figure 3, column 5 line 54-column 6 line 3).

Art Unit: 2133

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the combination of the sticky compare register (142) and the counter (144) (as taught by Hill) to Raad's data compare circuit (38) for counting the number of bad bits in the memory. One having ordinary skill in the art would have be motivated to so because (1) Hill teaches the sticky compare register (142) is connected to the outputs of a comparator (132) having XOR gates [figure 3], and (2) Raad teaches that the comparator (38) comprising logic gate structure which can be implemented by any designs well known to the art (figure 3, column 5 lines 62-64).

Claim 3:

Hill teaches that the content of the counter (144) contains the endoded RAM redundancy map value (column 6 line 3-7).

Claims 4 and 5:

Raad teaches that the test mode circuit (36) is located between the memory array (11A) and the data output register (28) (figure 1).

Claim 6:

Raad's data compare circuit (38) compares data from array (37) to a predetermined 32-bit data pattern from a data background circuit (40) (figure 3, column 5 line 58-column 6 line 4).

Claim 7:

Raad teaches the predetermined data pattern that contained in the data background circuit (40) is also written to the memory device (10) (column 6 lines 5-7).

Art Unit: 2133

Claim 8 and 11:

Raad disclosed the invention substantially as claimed. Raad shows (figures 1 & 3) a memory device (10) comprising a memory array (11A) having plurality of memory cells arranged in rows and columns, a row latch and decode circuit (18A) activates a selected row of memory cells in responsive to a row address, a combination of a column latch and decode circuit (20) and I/O interface circuit (26A) gates data from memory cells in responsive to a column address, a background circuit (40) in a test mode circuit (36), for storing a predetermined 32-bit data pattern (figures 1 & 3, column 3 line 1 – column 4 line 9, column 5 lines 60-63).

Raad also shows (figures 1 & 3) that a test mode circuit (36) comprises a data compare circuit (38) for comparing data from array (37) to a predetermined 32-bit data pattern from a data background circuit (40) in response to a STE signal wherein the data compare circuit (38) produce a pass/fail signal based on the comparison result (column 5 line 58-column 6 line 21).

Raad does not teach the fail bit counter. Hill, however, teaches a combination of a sticky compare register (142) and a counter (144). The sticky compare register (142) has plurality of bits each for storing a "1" in corresponding to a failed cell (104) in a column of a memory array (102). The counter (144), being initialized to zero, counts the number of bits of the sticky compare register (142) that contains a "1" and contains the encoded RAM redundancy map value (figure 3, column 5 line 54-column 6 line 7).

Art Unit: 2133

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the combination of the sticky compare register (142) and the counter (144) (as taught by Hill) to Raad's data compare circuit (38) for counting the number of bad bits in the memory. One having ordinary skill in the art would have be motivated to so because (1) Hill teaches the sticky compare register (142) is connected to the outputs of a comparator (132) having XOR gates [figure 3], and (2) Raad teaches that the comparator (38) comprising logic gate structure which can be implemented by any designs well known to the art (figure 3, column 5 lines 62-64).

<u>Claims 9 & 10:</u>

Raad's comparator (38) is enabled by a signal STE at the time referenced to the system clock signal CLK (column 5 line 66-column 6 line 4).

Claims 12 & 13:

Raad teaches that a data output register (28), which connected to the I/O pins (DQ0-DQ31), is disabled and prevented data to be outputted in response to a failed comparison of the comparator (38) (figure 1, column 6 lines 17-21).

Claims 14-15:

Raad teaches the combination of the I/O interface circuit (26A) and the data output register (28) such that the I/O interface circuit (26A) loads data from the memory array (11A) into the test mode circuit (36) and data output register

Art Unit: 2133

(28) via an internal data output bus (37). The data output register (28) is connected to I/O pins (DQ0-DQ31). The test mode circuit (36) has a comparator (38) for comparing data from the internal data output bus to a predetermined data pattern from the data background circuit (40). If a data mismatch occurs, the data compare circuit sends a failed comparison (P/F) signal to prevent data to be outputted from the data output register (28) (figures 1 & 3, column 5 line 55-column 6 line 27).

Claims 16-18:

Hill teaches that the comparator (132) including plurality of XOR gates each of which outputs a "1" if a mismatch is detected. The output "1" is then load into the sticky compare register (142). Each of the "1" will be right shifted from the sticky compare register (142) in responsive to the shift clock (figure 3, column 54-62, column 6 lines 28-35).

Claims 21, 22, and 24-25:

Claims (21 & 24), 22 and 25 are rejected for reasons similar to those set forth against claims (1 & 2), 7 and 3, respectively.

Claim 23:

Raad teaches a signal STE enables the comparison in the comparator (38) (column 5 line 66-column 6 line 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,390 Page 10

Art Unit: 2133

Claim 26:

Hill's counter (144) is initialized (column 5 line 57-68).

5. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raad et al. (6,243,840 and Raad hereinafter) in view of Hill et al. (6,141,779 and Hill hereinafter) and Beffa et al. (6,032,264 and Beffa hereinafter).

Claim 27:

Raad disclosed the invention substantially as claimed. Raad shows (figure 1) within a memory device (10), the feature of accessing the memory cells in a memory array (11A) and the feature of reading data from the accessed memory cells corresponding to the address by sending the requisite control signals to the column-address latch and decode circuit (20) and an I/O interface circuit (26A). Raad also teaches that the data read (37) is then compared by a comparator (38) to a pattern of the data background circuit (40) (figures 1 & 3, column 6 lines 52-65).

Raad does not teach the feature of counting the number of unmatched data bits nor the feature of storing a fail code determined according to the counted number. Hill, however, teaches a combination of a sticky compare register (142) and a counter (144). The sticky compare register (142) has plurality of bits each for storing a "1" in corresponding to a failed cell (104) in a column of a memory array (102). The counter (144) then counts the number of bits of the sticky compare register (142) that contains a "1". The contents of the

Art Unit: 2133

counter (144) contain the encoded RAM redundancy map value which may be loaded into nonvolatile storage (146) (figure 3, column 5 line 54-column 6 line 7).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the combination of the sticky compare register (142) and the counter (144) (as taught by Hill) to Raad's data compare circuit (38) for counting the number of bad bits in Raad's memory. One having ordinary skill in the art would have be motivated to so because (1) Hill teaches the sticky compare register (142) is connected to the outputs of a comparator (132) having XOR gates [figure 3], and (2) Raad teaches that the comparator (38) comprising logic gate structure which can be implemented by any designs well known to the art (figure 3, column 5 lines 62-64).

Raad does not explicitly teach the feature of performing repeatedly the selecting, determining, counting and storing steps until a maximum of column address is reach. Beffa, however, teaches under the control of a control circuitry (222), a semiconductor (200) performs the feature of repeatedly accessing memory cell addressed by an incremented content of a column counter (606), the feature of determining repeatedly whether the memory cell is defected (608), if there is a defect, incrementing the number of cell failures until maximum of column address is reach (612, 610) (figures 2 and 6A, column 6 lines 25-61, column 5 lines 25-42).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use additional controls from Beffa's control circuitry to carry out the repeatedly accessing, determining and incrementing features for

Application/Control Number: 10/003,390 Page 12

Art Unit: 2133

memory testing in Raad's memory device (10). One having ordinary skill in the art would have be motivated to so because Raad suggests that each memory cell or bit of the memory device must be tested in order to provide a reliable memory device (column 1 lines 13-23).

Claim 28:

The comparator (38) is enabled in responsive to a STE signal (figure 3, column 5 line 67-column 6 line 4).

Claim 29:

Hill teaches that the contents of the sticky compare register (142) are encoded and stored into a nonvolatile storage (146) (column 5 lines 63-65).

Claim 30:

Beffa teaches that the feature of setting the number of failures to zero (618) after all memory cells in the present row has been tested (figures 6A & 6B).

6. Claims 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine T. Tu whose telephone number is (703)305-9689. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 8:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (703)305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christine T. Tu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2133

August 5, 2004